

Appendix D.2: Percentages of Students with Achievement Too Low for Estimation*

Country	Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low for Estimation	Average Percent Correct
Australia	2 (0.3)	47 (0.5)
Bahrain	6 (0.4)	39 (0.3)
Botswana (9)	10 (0.4)	29 (0.3)
Canada	1 (0.3)	49 (0.4)
Chile	3 (0.3)	36 (0.5)
Chinese Taipei	1 (0.1)	59 (0.4)
Egypt	13 (0.7)	27 (0.5)
England	1 (0.2)	51 (0.8)
Georgia	5 (0.4)	35 (0.5)
Hong Kong SAR	1 (0.2)	53 (0.8)
Hungary	1 (0.3)	50 (0.7)
Iran, Islamic Rep. of	4 (0.4)	37 (0.7)
Ireland	1 (0.2)	50 (0.5)
Israel	4 (0.4)	46 (0.7)
Italy	1 (0.2)	44 (0.4)
Japan	0 (0.1)	59 (0.4)
Jordan	7 (0.5)	33 (0.4)
Kazakhstan	1 (0.2)	51 (1.0)
Korea, Rep. of	0 (0.1)	56 (0.5)
Kuwait	11 (0.9)	31 (0.8)
Lebanon	11 (1.0)	29 (0.7)
Lithuania	1 (0.1)	48 (0.6)
Malaysia	4 (0.5)	40 (0.7)
Malta	5 (0.4)	42 (0.3)
Morocco	9 (0.4)	27 (0.3)
New Zealand	3 (0.2)	47 (0.6)
Norway (9)	2 (0.2)	46 (0.5)
Oman	5 (0.4)	37 (0.4)
Qatar	6 (0.4)	38 (0.5)
Russian Federation	0 (0.1)	54 (0.9)
Saudi Arabia	10 (0.8)	28 (0.6)
Singapore	0 (0.1)	64 (0.7)
Slovenia	0 (0.1)	55 (0.5)
South Africa (9)	15 (0.8)	24 (0.7)
Sweden	2 (0.3)	49 (0.7)
Thailand	3 (0.4)	37 (0.8)
Turkey	3 (0.3)	43 (0.8)
United Arab Emirates	5 (0.3)	41 (0.4)
United States	1 (0.2)	50 (0.6)
chmarking Participants		
Buenos Aires, Argentina	15 (1.1)	27 (0.5)
Ontario, Canada	2 (0.4)	49 (0.5)
Quebec, Canada	1 (0.5)	50 (0.9)
Norway (8)	2 (0.3)	42 (0.5)
Abu Dhabi, UAE	6 (0.7)	38 (0.9)
Dubai, UAE	2 (0.2)	50 (0.4)

^{*} Students were considered to have achievement too low for estimation if their performance on the assessment was no better than could be achieved by simply guessing on the multiple-choice assessment items. However, such students were assigned scale scores (plausible values) by the achievement scaling procedure, despite concerns about their reliability.

46 (1.2)

2 (0.6)



Florida, US

⁽⁾ Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent.